arXiv:1503.03162v1 [math.NT] 11 Mar 2015

FROM r-LINEARIZED POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS TO r^m-LINEARIZED POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

NERANGA FERNANDO AND XIANG-DONG HOU

Abstract. Let r be a prime power and $q = r^m$. For $0 \le i \le m-1$, let $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_r[\mathtt{X}]$ be q-linearized and $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Assume that $z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_r$ satisfies the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i f_i(z)^{r^i} = 0$, where $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i f_i^{r^i} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ is an r-linearized polynomial. It is shown that z satisfies a q-linearized polynomial equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_r$. This result provides an explanation for numerous permutation polynomials previously obtained through computer search.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and $\mathbb{F}_{q_1}, \mathbb{F}_{q_2} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. A q_1-linearized polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q_2}$ is a polynomial of the form

$$f = a_0 \mathtt{X}^{q_1^0} + a_1 \mathtt{X}^{q_1^1} + \cdots + a_n \mathtt{X}^{q_1^n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q_2}[\mathtt{X}].$$

If $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q_2}[\mathtt{X}]$ is q_1-linearized and $g \in \mathbb{F}_{q_1}[\mathtt{X}]$ is q_2-linearized, then $f \circ g = g \circ f$.

We are interested in the following question. Let $\mathbb{F}_r \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $q = r^m$. Assume that $z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ satisfies an equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i f_i(z)^{r^i} = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_r[\mathtt{X}]$ is q-linearized. Note that (1.1) is an r-linearized equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Is it possible to derive from (1.1) a q-linearized equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_r$? Such an equation indeed exists and will be given in Section 2; see (2.3). The q-linearized equation (2.3) is concise in a determinant form. However, the expansion of the determinant invokes certain questions concerning permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ and partitions of m; these related questions will also be discussed in Section 2.

What is achieved is a transition from an r-linearized equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ to a q-linearized equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_r$. We mention that for the applications in the present paper and other possible applications elsewhere, a q-linearized equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ would suffice. The advantage of a q-linearized polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ over an r-linearized polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ resides in a folklore in the study of finite fields. The conventional associate of f is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ from which information about the roots of f can be easily extracted. On the other hand, the conventional associate of g is a skew polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which is not as convenient to use as the counterpart of f. For more background of skew polynomials over finite fields, see [2, 5].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11T55.

Key words and phrases. Determinant, finite field, linearized polynomial, permutation polynomial.

Let $f=\sum_{i=0}^n a_i\mathtt{X}^{q^i}\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathtt{X}]$ be a q-linearized polynomial. The conventional associate of f is the polynomial $\widetilde{f}=\sum_{i=0}^n a_ix^i\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[\mathtt{X}]$. The folklore mentioned above is the following theorem which can be derived from [10, Theorems 3.62 and 3.65].

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $f,g\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ *be q-linearized polynomials. Then* $\gcd(f,g)$ *is a q-linearized polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ *with* $\widetilde{\gcd(f,g)}=\gcd(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g})$.

In Section 3, we use the result of Section 2 to answer certain questions arising from a recent study of permutation polynomials [3, 8]. For each integer $n\ge 0$, let $g_{n,q}\in\mathbb{F}_p[\mathtt{X}]$ be the polynomial defined by the functional equation $\sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathtt{X}+c)^n=g_{n,q}(\mathtt{X}^q-\mathtt{X})$. The study in [3, 8] aims at the determination of the triples $(n,e;q)$ of positive integers for which $g_{n,q}$ is a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. When n is related to q and e in certain ways, the result of Section 2 combined with Theorem 1.1 produces sufficient conditions for $g_{n,q}$ to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. These conditions explain numerous findings from the computer searches in [3].

2. r-LINEARIZED AND r^m-LINEARIZED EQUATIONS

Let p be a prime, $\mathbb{F}_r\subset\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $q=r^m$. Let R_q denote the set of all q-linearized polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. $(R_q,+,\circ)$ is a commutative ring, where $+$ is the ordinary addition and $\circ$ is the composition. The mapping that sends $f\in R_q$ to its conventional associate $\widetilde{f}$ is a ring isomorphism from R_q to $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$. Throughout the paper, the i-fold composition of a polynomial f is denoted by $f^{[i]}$ while f^i stands for the ith power of f.

Assume that for $0\le i\le m-1$, $a_i\in\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f_i\in\mathbb{F}_r[\mathtt{X}]$ is q-linearized. Define

$$M=\begin{bmatrix} a_0f_0 & a_1f_1 & \cdots & a_{m-1}f_{m-1}\\ a_{m-1}^rf_{m-1}\circ\mathtt{X}^q & a_0^rf_0 & \cdots & a_{m-2}^rf_{m-2}\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\\ a_1^{r^{m-1}}f_1\circ\mathtt{X}^q & a_2^{r^{m-1}}f_2\circ\mathtt{X}^q & \cdots & a_0^{r^{m-1}}f_0 \end{bmatrix}\in\mathrm{M}_{n\times n}(R_q). \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.1. *In the above notation, assume that* $z\in\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ *satisfies the equation*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}a_if_i^{r^i}(z)=0. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we have

$$(\det M)(z)=0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\det M$ *is a q-linearized polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_r$.

Proof. Raise the left side of (2.2) to the power of r^j, $0 \le j \le m-1$, and express the results in a matrix form. We have

$$\Bigl(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_j f_j^{r^j} \\ a_{j-1}^{r} f_{j-1}^{r^j} \\ \vdots \\ a_0^{r^j} f_0^{r^j} \\ a_{m-1}^{r^{j+1}} f_{m-1}^{r^j} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \\ \vdots \\ a_{j+1}^{r^{m-1}} f_{j+1}^{r^j} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \end{bmatrix}\Bigr)(z) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Label the rows and columns of M from 0 through $m-1$. Let M_0 be the submatrix M with its 0th column deleted, and, for $0 \le i \le m-1$, let $M_{i,0}$ be the submatrix of M_0 with its ith row deleted. Put $D_i = (-1)^i \det M_{i,0}$, $0 \le i \le m-1$. Then for each $1 \le j \le m-1$,

$$\Bigl([D_0, \cdots, D_{m-1}] \circ \begin{bmatrix} a_j f_j^{r^j} \\ \vdots \\ a_0^{r^j} f_0^{r^j} \\ a_{m-1}^{r^{j+1}} f_{m-1}^{r^j} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \\ \vdots \\ a_{j+1}^{r^{m-1}} f_{j+1}^{r^j} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \end{bmatrix}\Bigr)(z)$$

$$= \Bigl([D_0, \cdots, D_{m-1}] \circ \begin{bmatrix} a_j f_j \\ \vdots \\ a_0^{r^j} f_0 \\ a_{m-1}^{r^{j+1}} f_{m-1} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \\ \vdots \\ a_{j+1}^{r^{m-1}} f_{j+1} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \end{bmatrix}\Bigr)(z^{r^j}) \tag{2.5}$$

$$= \Bigl(\det \left[\begin{array}{c|c} a_j f_j & \\ \vdots & \\ a_0^{r^j} f_0 & \\ a_{m-1}^{r^{j+1}} f_{m-1} \circ \mathtt{X}^q & M_0 \\ \vdots & \\ a_{j+1}^{r^{m-1}} f_{j+1} \circ \mathtt{X}^q & \end{array}\right]\Bigr)(z^{r^j}) = 0.$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5) gives

$$0 = \Bigl([D_0, \cdots, D_{m-1}] \circ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 f_0 \\ a_{m-1}^{r} f_{m-1} \circ \mathtt{X}^q \\ \vdots \\ a_1^{r^{m-1}} f_1 \circ \mathtt{X}^q \end{bmatrix}\Bigr)(z) = (\det M)(z).$$

The claim that the coefficients of $\det M$ are all in $\mathbb{F}_r$ will be proved shortly; see (2.11) and (2.12). □

For $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, define

$$\delta(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \le j, \\ m & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

The (i, j) entry of M is

$$a_{-i+j}^{r^i} f_{-i+j} \circ \mathtt{X}^{r^{\delta(i,j)}},$$

where the subscripts are taken modulo m. Let $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ denote the group of all permutations of $\mathbb{Z}_m$. We have

$$\det M = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_m)} (-1)^{\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)} \Bigl(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{\sigma(i)-i}^{r^i} f_{\sigma(i)-i} \Bigr) \circ \mathtt{X}^{r^{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta(i,\sigma(i))}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $0^{\mu_0} 1^{\mu_1} \cdots (m-1)^{\mu_{m-1}}$ denote the multiset consisting elements $0, \dots, m-1$ with respective multiplicities $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{m-1}$. If there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ such that $\{\sigma(i) - i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} = 0^{\mu_0} 1^{\mu_1} \cdots (m-1)^{\mu_{m-1}}$, then we must have $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i\mu_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. (In fact, the converse is also true; see Remark 2.2.) Let

$$\mathfrak{M} = \Bigl\{ (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-1}) : \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ \mu_i \ge 0,\ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu_i = m,\ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i\mu_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \Bigr\}, \tag{2.7}$$

and for each $(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{m-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}$, let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})} = \bigl\{ \sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_m) : \{\sigma(i) - i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\} = 0^{\mu_0} \cdots (m-1)^{\mu_{m-1}} \bigr\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Then $\delta(i, \sigma(i)) + \sigma(i) - i$ is the integer in $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ that is $\equiv \sigma(i) - i \pmod{m}$. Hence in $\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta(i, \sigma(i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \bigl[\delta(i, \sigma(i)) + \sigma(i) - i \bigr] = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i\mu_i. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus we can rewrite (2.6) as

$$\det M = \sum_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}} c_{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{m-1}} f_0^{[\mu_0]} \circ \cdots \circ f_{m-1}^{[\mu_{m-1}]} \circ \mathtt{X}^{r^{0\mu_0 + \cdots + (m-1)\mu_{m-1}}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$c_{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{m-1}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})}} (-1)^{\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i^{\sum_{j,\, \sigma(j)-j \equiv i} r^j}. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ be defined by $\alpha(i) = i - 1$. We have $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})} \alpha = \mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})}$. Moreover, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})}$,

$$\Bigl(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i^{\sum_{j,\, \sigma(j)-j \equiv i} r^j} \Bigr)^r = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i^{\sum_{j,\, (\alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha)(j)-j \equiv i} r^j}. \tag{2.12}$$

(2.11) and (2.12) imply that $c_{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{m-1}}^r = c_{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{m-1}}$, i.e., $c_{\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{m-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_r$.

Remark 2.2. In fact, $\mathfrak{S}_{(\mu_0,\dots,\mu_{m-1})} \ne \emptyset$ for all $(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{m-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}$. This follows immediately from the following result by M. Hall.

Theorem 2.3 ([6])**.** *Let A be a finite abelian group and $f : A \to A$ a function. f can be represented as a difference of two permutations of A if and only if $\sum_{x \in A} f(x) = 0$.*

We conclude this section with the explicit expansion of $\det M$ for $1 \leq m \leq 5$. For simplicity, we write $f_{i_0} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i_{m-1}} \circ \mathtt{X}^{i_0+\cdots+i_{m-1}}$ as $f_{i_0 \dots i_{m-1}}$.

$m = 1$,

$$\det M = a_0 f_0.$$

$m = 2$,

$$\det M = \mathrm{N}_{r^2/r}(a_0) f_{00} - \mathrm{N}_{r^2/r}(a_1) f_{11}.$$

$m = 3$,

$$\det M = \mathrm{N}_{r^3/r}(a_0) f_{000} + \mathrm{N}_{r^3/r}(a_1) f_{111} + \mathrm{N}_{r^3/r}(a_2) f_{222} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^3/r}(a_0 a_1^r a_2^{r^2}) f_{012}.$$

$m = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det M = \\
&\mathrm{N}_{r^4/r}(a_0) f_{0000} - \mathrm{N}_{r^4/r}(a_1) f_{1111} + \mathrm{N}_{r^4/r}(a_2) f_{2222} - \mathrm{N}_{r^4/r}(a_3) f_{3333} \\
&- \mathrm{Tr}_{r^2/r}\big(\mathrm{N}_{r^4/r^2}(a_0) \mathrm{N}_{r^4/r^2}(a_2)^r\big) f_{0022} + \mathrm{Tr}_{r^2/r}\big(\mathrm{N}_{r^4/r^2}(a_1) \mathrm{N}_{r^4/r^2}(a_3)^r\big) f_{1133} \\
&- \mathrm{Tr}_{r^4/r}(a_0^{1+r} a_1^{r^2} a_3^{r^2}) f_{0013} + \mathrm{Tr}_{r^4/r}(a_0 a_1^{r+r^2} a_2^{r^3}) f_{0112} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^4/r}(a_0 a_2^r a_3^{r^2+r^3}) f_{0233} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^4/r}(a_1 a_2^{r+r^2} a_3^{r^3}) f_{1223}.
\end{aligned}$$

$m = 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det M = \\
&\mathrm{N}_{r^5/r}(a_0) f_{00000} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r+r^2} a_1^{r^3} a_4^{r^4}) f_{00014} \\
&- \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r+r^3} a_2^{r^2} a_3^{r^4}) f_{00023} + \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r} a_1^{r^2+r^3} a_3^{r^4}) f_{00113} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r^2} a_1^{r^3} a_2^{r+r^4}) f_{00122} + \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r} a_2^{r^2} a_4^{r^3+r^4}) f_{00244} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0^{1+r^2} a_3^{r+r^3} a_4^{r^4}) f_{00334} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_1^{r+r^2+r^3} a_2^{r^4}) f_{01112} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_1^{r+r^3} a_4^{r^2+r^4}) f_{01144} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(-a_0 a_1^r a_2^{r^2} a_3^{r^3} a_4^{r^4} + a_0 a_1^{r^2} a_2^{r^4} a_3^r a_4^{r^3} - a_0 a_1^{r^3} a_2^r a_3^{r^4} a_4^{r^2}) f_{01234} \\
&- \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_1^{r^2} a_3^{r+r^3+r^4}) f_{01333} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_2^{r+r^2+r^4} a_4^{r^3}) f_{02224} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_2^{r+r^2} a_3^{r^3+r^4}) f_{02233} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_0 a_3^r a_4^{r^2+r^3+r^4}) f_{03444} \\
&+ \mathrm{N}_{r^5/r}(a_1) f_{11111} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1^{1+r+r^3} a_3^{r^2} a_4^{r^4}) f_{11134} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1^{1+r} a_2^{r^2+r^3} a_4^{r^4}) f_{11224} + \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1^{1+r^2} a_2^{r^3} a_3^{r+r^4}) f_{11233} \\
&- \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1 a_2^{r+r^2+r^3} a_3^{r^4}) f_{12223} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1 a_2^{r^2} a_4^{r+r^3+r^4}) f_{12444} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_1 a_3^{r+r^2} a_4^{r^3+r^4}) f_{13344} + \mathrm{N}_{r^5/r}(a_2) f_{22222} \\
&+ \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_2^{1+r^2} a_3^{r^3} a_4^{r+r^4}) f_{22344} - \mathrm{Tr}_{r^5/r}(a_2 a_3^{r+r^2+r^3} a_4^{r^4}) f_{23334} \\
&+ \mathrm{N}_{r^5/r}(a_3) f_{33333} + \mathrm{N}_{r^5/r}(a_4) f_{44444}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Applications to Permutation Polynomials

3.1. A criterion.

Proposition 3.1. *Let m and e be positive integers, r a prime power and $q = r^m$. Define $S_e = \mathtt{X}^{q^0} + \mathtt{X}^{q^1} + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{q^{e-1}}$. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}[\mathtt{X}]$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ if the following conditions are all satisfied.*

(i) *There exists a PP* $\bar f\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathtt{X}]$ *of* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{F}_{q^e} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "S_e"'] & \mathbb{F}_{q^e} \arrow[d, "S_e"] \\
\mathbb{F}_q \arrow[r, "\bar f"'] & \mathbb{F}_q
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

(ii) *For each* $c\in\mathbb{F}_q$, *there exist q-linearized polynomials* $f_{c,i}\in\mathbb{F}_r[\mathtt{X}]$ *and* $a_{c,i}\in\mathbb{F}_q$, $0\le i\le m-1$, *and* $b_c\in\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ *such that*

$$f(x)=f_c(x)+b_c\quad \text{for all } x\in S_e^{-1}(c), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$f_c=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}a_{c,i}f_{c,i}^{r^i}. \tag{3.2}$$

(iii) *For each* $c\in\mathbb{F}_q$,

$$\gcd\bigl(\det A_c,\ (\mathtt{X}^e-1)/(\mathtt{X}-1)\bigr)=1, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$A_c=\begin{bmatrix}
a_{c,0}\widetilde{f}_{c,0} & a_{c,1}\widetilde{f}_{c,1} & \cdots & a_{c,m-1}\widetilde{f}_{c,m-1}\\
a_{c,m-1}^{r}\widetilde{f}_{c,m-1}\mathtt{X} & a_{c,0}^{r}\widetilde{f}_{c,0} & \cdots & a_{c,m-2}^{r}\widetilde{f}_{c,m-2}\\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\\
a_{c,1}^{r^{m-1}}\widetilde{f}_{c,1}\mathtt{X} & a_{c,2}^{r^{m-1}}\widetilde{f}_{c,2}\mathtt{X} & \cdots & a_{c,0}^{r^{m-1}}\widetilde{f}_{c,0}
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.4}$$

and $\widetilde{(\ )}$ *denotes the conventional associate of a q-linearized polynomial over* $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. By [1, Lemma 1.2], it suffices to show that for every $c\in\mathbb{F}_q$, f_c is 1-1 on $S_e^{-1}(c)$. Since f_c is a linearized polynomial, it suffices to show that 0 is the only common root of f_c and S_e. By Theorem 2.1, a root of f_c is also a root of $\det M_c$, where

$$M_c=\begin{bmatrix}
a_{c,0}f_{c,0} & a_{c,1}f_{c,1} & \cdots & a_{c,m-1}f_{c,m-1}\\
a_{c,m-1}^{r}f_{c,m-1}\circ\mathtt{X}^q & a_{c,0}^{r}f_{c,0} & \cdots & a_{c,m-2}^{r}f_{c,m-2}\\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\\
a_{c,1}^{r^{m-1}}f_{c,1}\circ\mathtt{X}^q & a_{c,2}^{r^{m-1}}f_{c,2}\circ\mathtt{X}^q & \cdots & a_{c,0}^{r^{m-1}}f_{c,0}
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\gcd(\det M_c,\,S_e)=\mathtt{X}$. We have

$$\gcd(\widetilde{\det M_c},\ \widetilde{S}_e)=\gcd\bigl(\det A_c,\ (\mathtt{X}^e-1)/(\mathtt{X}-1)\bigr)=1,$$

and by Theorem 1.1, $\gcd(\det M_c,\,S_e)=\mathtt{X}$. □

3.2. **The polynomial $g_{n,q}$.**

Let $p=\operatorname{char}\mathbb{F}_q$. For each integer $n\ge 0$, there is a polynomial $g_{n,q}\in\mathbb{F}_p[\mathtt{X}]$ defined by the functional equation

$$\sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathtt{X}+c)^n=g_{n,q}(\mathtt{X}^q-\mathtt{X}). \tag{3.6}$$

The polynomial $g_{n,q}$ was introduced in [7], and its permutation property was studied in [3, 8]. The objective is to determine the triples $(n,e;q)$ of positive integers for which $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, and this question, as a whole, appears to be difficult. For each integer $a \geq 0$, define $S_a = \mathtt{X} + \mathtt{X}^q + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{q^{a-1}}$. The polynomial $g_{n,q}$ is related to S_a by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. (i) ([3, Eq. (3.5)]) *For integers $n \geq 0$ and $a \geq b \geq 0$, we have*

$$g_{n+q^a,q} - g_{n+q^b,q} = (S_a - S_b) \cdot g_{n,q}. \tag{3.7}$$

(ii) ([4, Lemma 2.2]) *Let $n = 1 + q^{a_1} + \cdots + q^{a_{q+t}}$, where $-1 \leq t \leq q-4$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_{q+t} \geq 0$. Then*

$$g_{n,q} = -\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{t+2} \leq q+t} S_{a_{i_1}} \cdots S_{a_{i_{t+2}}}. \tag{3.8}$$

3.3. Applications to $g_{n,q}$.

We call a triple of positive integers $(n, e; q)$ *desirable* if $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$. Computer searches for desirable triples with small values of q and e were conducted in [3, 8]; the results for $q = 4$ and $e \leq 6$ were given in [3, Table 3]. Proposition 3.1 provides an explanation for several entries of [3, Table 3]; in each case, a new class of PPs is discovered.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $q = 4$ and $n = 1 + q^a + q^b + q^e + q^{e+k}$, where a, b, e, and k are positive integers. Then*

$$g_{n,q} \equiv S_a S_b + (S_a + S_b + S_e) S_k + S_e^2 \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}. \tag{3.9}$$

If $\gcd(e, 2k) = 1$ and $a = k$ or $b = k$, then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. Eq. (3.9) follows from Lemma 3.2 easily. It remains to prove the second claim. Since $a = k$ or $b = k$, (3.9) gives

$$g_{n,q} \equiv S_k^2 + S_e^2 + S_e S_k \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}.$$

We show that conditions (i) – (iii) in Proposition 3.1 are satisfied with $r = 2$, $m = 2$, $q = 4$ and $f = S_k^2 + S_e^2 + S_e S_k$. Condition (i) is satisfied with $\bar{f} = \mathtt{X}^2$. For each $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $x \in S_e^{-1}(c)$,

$$f(x) = c S_k(x) + S_k^2(x) + c^2.$$

Hence (ii) is satisfied with

$$f_{c,0} = f_{c,1} = S_k,\ a_{c,0} = c,\ a_{c,1} = 1,\ b_c = c^2.$$

We have

$$\det A_c = \begin{vmatrix} c(1 + \mathtt{X} + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{k-1}) & 1 + \mathtt{X} + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{k-1} \\ \mathtt{X}(1 + \mathtt{X} + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{k-1}) & c^2(1 + \mathtt{X} + \cdots + \mathtt{X}^{k-1}) \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(\mathtt{X}^{2k} + 1)(\mathtt{X} + c^3)}{(\mathtt{X} + 1)^2}.$$

Since $\gcd(e, 2k) = 1$, $\gcd(\det A_c,\ (\mathtt{X}^e - 1)/(\mathtt{X} - 1)) = 1$, and hence (iii) is also satisfied. □

The proofs of the next three propositions are similar to that of Proposition 3.3. For these proofs, we only give f, $f_{c,i}$, $a_{c,i}$, b_c and $\det A_c$ and leave the details for the reader.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $q = 4$ and $n = 1 + 3q^a + q^e + 2q^{e+a}$, where e and a are positive integers. Then*

$$g_{n,q} \equiv \mathtt{X}^{q^a} + S_e + S_a^2 S_e^2 + S_a S_e^3 \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}.$$

If $2 \mid e$ and $\gcd(e, 2a + 1) = 1$, then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. $f = \mathtt{X}^{q^a} + S_e + S_a^2 S_e^2 + S_a S_e^3$, $\bar f = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = \mathtt{X}^{q^a} + c^3 S_a$, $f_{c,1} = S_a$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = c$,

$$\det A_c = \mathtt{X}^{2a} + c^3 \frac{\mathtt{X}^{2a}+1}{\mathtt{X}+1}.$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $q = 4$ and $n = 1 + 2q^1 + 2q^{e-1} + 2q^{e+1}$, where $e > 1$ is an integer. Then*

$$g_{n,q} \equiv S_2 + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_{e-1}^2 S_e^2 \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}.$$

If e is odd, then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. $f = S_2 + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_{e-1}^2 S_e^2$, $\bar f = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = S_2$, $f_{c,1} = \mathtt{X} + S_{e-1}$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = 0$,

$$\det A_c = \frac{1}{(1+\mathtt{X})^2}(1 + c^3\mathtt{X}^3 + \mathtt{X}^4 + c^3\mathtt{X}^{2e-1}).$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let $q = 4$ and $n = 1 + 2q^1 + q^3 + q^e + 2q^{e+1}$, where e is a positive integer. Then*

$$g_{n,q} \equiv \mathtt{X}^{q^2} + S_e + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_3 S_e^3 \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}.$$

If $2 \mid e$ but $3 \nmid e$, then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. $f = \mathtt{X}^{q^2} + S_e + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_3 S_e^3$, $\bar f = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = \mathtt{X}^{q^2} + c^3 S_3$, $f_{c,1} = \mathtt{X}$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = c$, $\det A_c = \mathtt{X}^4 + c^3(1 + \mathtt{X} + \mathtt{X}^2 + \mathtt{X}^4)$. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $q = 4$ and $n = 1 + 2q^2 + q^4 + q^e + 2q^{e+2}$, where e is a positive integer. Then*

$$g_{n,q} \equiv \mathtt{X}^{q^3} + S_e + S_2^2 S_e^2 + S_4 S_e^3 \pmod{\mathtt{X}^{q^e} - \mathtt{X}}.$$

If $2 \mid e$ but $5 \nmid e$, then $g_{n,q}$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$.

Proof. $f = \mathtt{X}^{q^3} + S_e + S_2^2 S_e^2 + S_4 S_e^3$, $\bar f = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = \mathtt{X}^{q^3} + c^3 S_4$, $f_{c,1} = S_2$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = c$, $\det A_c = \mathtt{X}^6 + c^3(1 + \mathtt{X} + \mathtt{X}^2 + \mathtt{X}^3 + \mathtt{X}^4 + \mathtt{X}^6)$. $\square$

Examples of Propositions 3.3 – 3.7 provide explanations for several entries in [3, Table 3]; an update of the table is included in the apendix of the present paper.

By Proposition 3.3:

$$q = 4,\ e = 5,\ n = 17429 = 1 + q^1 + q^2 + q^5 + q^7;$$

$$q = 4,\ e = 5,\ n = 17489 = 1 + q^2 + q^3 + q^5 + q^7;$$

$$q = 4,\ e = 5,\ n = 17681 = 1 + q^2 + q^4 + q^5 + q^7.$$

By Proposition 3.4:

$$q = 4,\ e = 4,\ n = 2317 = 1 + 3q^1 + q^4 + 2q^5;$$

$$q = 4,\ e = 6,\ n = 135217 = 1 + 3q^2 + q^6 + 2q^8.$$

By Proposition 3.5:

$$q = 4,\ e = 5,\ n = 8713 = 1 + 2q^1 + 2q^4 + 2q^6.$$

By Proposition 3.6:

$$q = 4,\ e = 4,\ n = 2377 = 1 + 2q^1 + q^3 + q^4 + 2q^5.$$

By Proposition 3.7:

$$q = 4,\ e = 6,\ n = 135457 = 1 + 2q^2 + q^4 + q^6 + 2q^8.$$

Remark 3.8. The statements in the above propositions can be made more general when they are not restricted to the class $g_{n,q}$. For example, Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 allow the following generalizations whose proofs require no additional work.

- A generalization of Proposition 3.5: Let $q = 4$ and $f = S_a + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_b^2 S_e^2$, where a, b, and e are positive integers. Then f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ if $2 \mid (a + b)$, $\gcd(e, a) = 1$, and
$$\gcd\Big(\frac{\mathtt{X}^{2a} + 1 + \mathtt{X}^{2b+1} + \mathtt{X}^3}{(\mathtt{X}+1)^2},\ \frac{\mathtt{X}^e + 1}{\mathtt{X}+1}\Big) = 1.$$
In this case, $\bar{f} = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = S_a$, $f_{c,1} = \mathtt{X} + S_b$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = 0$, and
$$\det A_c = \frac{1}{(1+\mathtt{X})^2}\big[\mathtt{X}^{2a} + 1 + c^3(\mathtt{X}^{2b+1} + \mathtt{X}^3)\big].$$
- A generalization of Proposition 3.6: Let $q = 4$ and $f = S_a + S_b + S_e + \mathtt{X}^2 S_e^2 + S_b S_e^3$, where a, b, and e are positive integers. Then f is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ if $2 \mid (a + e)$, $\gcd(e, a - b) = 1$, and
$$\gcd\Big(\frac{\mathtt{X}^{2a} + \mathtt{X}^3 + \mathtt{X} + 1}{(\mathtt{X}+1)^2},\ \frac{\mathtt{X}^e + 1}{\mathtt{X}+1}\Big) = 1.$$
In this case, $\bar{f} = \mathtt{X}$, $f_{c,0} = S_a + (1 + c^3)S_b$, $f_{c,1} = \mathtt{X}$, $a_{c,0} = 1$, $a_{c,1} = c^2$, $b_c = c$, and
$$\det A_c = \frac{1}{(1+\mathtt{X})^2}\big[\mathtt{X}^{2a} + \mathtt{X}^{2b} + c^3(1 + \mathtt{X} + \mathtt{X}^3 + \mathtt{X}^{2b})\big].$$

References

[1] A. Akbary, D. Ghioca, Q. Wang, *On constructing permutations of finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 51 – 67.

[2] X. Caruso, J. Le Borgne *Some algorithms for skew polynomials over finite fields*, arXiv1212.3582, 2012.

[3] N. Fernando, X. Hou, S. D. Lappano, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields, II*, Finite Fields Appl. **22** (2013), 122 – 158.

[4] N. Fernando, X. Hou, S. D. Lappano, *Permutation polynomials over finite fields involving* $\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{x}^q + \cdots + \mathtt{x}^{q^{a-1}}$, Discrete Math. **315** (2014), 173 – 184.

[5] M. Giesbrecht, *Factoring in skew-polynomial rings over finite fields*, J. Symbolic Comput. **26** (1998), 463 – 486.

[6] M. Hall, *A combinatorial problem on abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 584 – 587.

[7] X. Hou, *Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), 448 – 454.

[8] X. Hou, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **18** (2012), 492 – 521.

[9] X. Hou, *Proof of a conjecture on permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **24** (2013) 192 – 195.

[10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

APPENDIX

The following is an update of [3, Table 3] of all desirable triples $(n, e; 4)$ with $e \leq 6$ and $w_4(n) > 4$, where $w_4(n)$ is the base 4 weight of n. Only a few entries remain to be explained.

TABLE 1. Desirable triples $(n, e; 4)$, $e \leq 6$, $w_4(n) > 4$

e	n	base 4 digits of n	reference
2	59	3,2,3	[3, Theorem 5.9 (ii)]
2	127	3,3,3,1	[8, Proposition 3.1]
3	29	1,3,1	[3, Example 6.3]
3	101	1,1,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
3	149	1,1,1,2	
3	163	3,0,2,2	[3, Theorem 6.10]
3	281	1,2,1,0,1	[3, Corollary 6.16]
3	307	3,0,3,0,1	[9, Theorem 1.1]
3	329	1,2,0,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
3	341	1,1,1,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
3	2047	3,3,3,3,3,1	[8, Proposition 3.1]
4	281	1,2,1,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
4	307	3,0,3,0,1	
4	401	1,0,1,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
4	547	3,0,2,0,2	[3, Theorem 6.10]
4	779	3,2,0,0,3	[3, Theorem 6.6]
4	787	3,0,1,0,3	[3, Theorem 6.8]
4	817	1,0,3,0,3	
4	899	3,0,0,2,3	[3, Theorem 6.6]
4	1469	1,3,3,2,1,1	
4	2201	1,2,1,2,0,2	
4	2317	1,3,0,0,1,2	Proposition 3.4
4	2321	1,0,1,0,1,2	[3, Theorem 6.12]
4	2377	1,2,0,1,1,2	Proposition 3.6
4	2441	1,2,0,2,1,2	
4	4387	3,0,2,0,1,0,1	
4	32767	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8, Proposition 3.1]
5	29	1,3,1	[3, Example 6.3]
5	1049	1,2,1,0,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1061	1,1,2,0,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1169	1,0,1,2,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1289	1,2,0,0,1,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1409	1,0,0,2,1,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1541	1,1,0,0,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	1601	1,0,0,1,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	2083	3,0,2,0,0,2	[3, Theorem 6.10]
5	2563	3,0,0,0,2,2	[3, Theorem 6.9]

Table 1. continued

e	n	base 4 digits of n	reference
5	4229	1,1,0,2,0,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	4289	1,0,0,3,0,0,1	
5	4387	3,0,2,0,1,0,1	
5	5129	1,2,0,0,0,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
5	5141	1,1,1,0,0,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
5	5189	1,1,0,1,0,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
5	5249	1,0,0,2,0,1,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	5381	1,1,0,0,1,1,1	[3, Example 6.4]
5	8713	1,2,0,0,2,0,2	Proposition 3.5
5	9281	1,0,0,1,0,1,2	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	17429	1,1,1,0,0,1,0,1	Proposition 3.3
5	17441	1,0,2,0,0,1,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
5	17489	1,0,1,1,0,1,0,1	Proposition 3.3
5	17681	1,0,1,0,1,1,0,1	Proposition 3.3
5	524287	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8, Proposition 3.1]
6	4361	1,2,0,0,1,0,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
6	6161	1,0,1,0,0,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
6	6401	1,0,0,0,1,2,1	[3, Theorem 6.12]
6	8227	3,0,2,0,0,0,2	[3, Theorem 6.10]
6	8707	3,0,0,0,2,0,2	[3, Theorem 6.11]
6	12299	3,2,0,0,0,0,3	[3, Theorem 6.6]
6	12307	3,0,1,0,0,0,3	[3, Theorem 6.8]
6	14339	3,0,0,0,0,2,3	[3, Theorem 6.6]
6	37121	1,0,0,0,1,0,1,2	[3, Theorem 6.12]
6	65801	1,2,0,0,1,0,0,0,1	[3, Corollary 6.16]
6	65921	1,0,0,2,1,0,0,0,1	
6	66307	3,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,1	[9, Theorem 1.1]
6	135209	1,2,2,0,0,0,1,0,2	
6	135217	1,0,3,0,0,0,1,0,2	Proposition 3.4
6	135457	1,0,2,0,1,0,1,0,2	Proposition 3.7
6	137249	1,0,2,0,0,2,1,0,2	
6	8388607	3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,1	[8, Proposition 3.1]

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115
E-mail address: `w.fernando@neu.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620
E-mail address: `xhou@usf.edu`